



Civil Resistance Against Authoritarian and Religious Radicalism



The Return of the Opposition: Civil Resistance Against Authoritarianism in the 21st Century



Owen Lippert

The Return of the Opposition: Civil Resistance Against Authoritarianism in the 21st Century

Owen Lippert

Copyright © World Anti Extremism Network 2025

The content of this paper reflects the author's personal views and doesn't necessarily align with the official positions of WAEN or our partners.

Email: hq@WorldAntiExtremism.org

Partners



Table of Contents

Introduction	3
The Contemporary Crisis: Quality Over Quantity in Democratic Decline	4
The Erosion of Democratic Quality	4
Incumbency Bias: The Fatal Flaw in Democracy Assistance	4
Prioritizing Self-Reliance Over External Aid	5
Institutional Capture and State Control	5
Media Censorship and Information Warfare	6
Electoral Manipulation and Violence	6
Living in Truth: Lessons from Václav Havel	7
The Role of Diaspora Communities in Democratic Struggles	7
Civil Resistance Dimension	8
Civil Resistance Strategies for Afghanistan	9
Finding and Connecting the Diaspora	9
Conclusion and Policy Implications	10

About the Author

Dr. Owen Lippert has experience with democracy, governance, and civil society, and currently serves as Director of Opposition International. The organization, based in Toronto with active chapters in Dhaka and Cebu, advances democratic participation, bolsters opposition parties, and promotes diaspora engagement and electoral integrity worldwide.

With over thirty years of professional experience across the political, governmental,

and non-profit sectors, Dr. Lippert has managed and participated in six national, four provincial, and two municipal political campaigns in Canada, alongside leadership in three referendum campaigns. He has observed and consulted on more than ten election campaigns in Asia, South America, and Europe, bringing extensive comparative insights to his work.

Dr. Lippert previously directed USAID- and UNDP-funded projects focused on party systems, governance reform, and civil society engagement in multiple countries, including Bangladesh, Georgia, and Afghanistan. His Canadian government service spans advisory roles for the Office of the Prime Minister, the Ministry of International Cooperation, and the Attorney General's Office. He worked for the Fraser Institute, Canada's leading think tank.

Dr. Lippert holds a Ph.D. in Modern European History and American Diplomacy from the University of Notre Dame, Indiana, and a BA from Carleton College, Minnesota.



Owen Lippert

Abstract

Winston Churchill once said democracy is "the worst form of government, except for all the others that have been tried," but that line feels empty now with the Freedom House Index showing nineteen straight years of global freedom sliding backward (Democracy Without Borders 2025; Churchill 1947). Thomas Mann put it well: "In our times, the destiny of man presents its meaning in political terms," and that rings true for today's democracy, where the real loss isn't in how many places call themselves democratic but in how poorly those systems actually work (Minogue 1995). Here, we look at opposition movements—groups comprising political parties, civil society organizations, diaspora networks, and everyday people—who push back to protect democratic ideals against what Alexis de Tocqueville called "democratic despotism," where leaders seize too much power without adequate checks (Tocqueville 1835). In 2024, 94 countries saw democracy weaken, and autocracies edged out democracies for the first time in more than two decades; this piece digs into how biases in aid programs, surveillance tech, and captured institutions have thrown the balance between rulers and challengers way off kilter (International IDEA 2025; V-Dem Institute 2025). We cover hurdles like censored media, online tricks, rigged votes, and sidelined opposition groups, not just from dictators but from aid efforts that need to shift funding to parties offering real options—while stressing self-reliance as the true backbone over donor handouts (Freedom House 2025; Harvard Project on Electoral Integrity 2024). Drawing on case studies from different regions, we spotlight Václav Havel's idea of "living in truth" and the 2024 Bangladesh student revolt, which showed nonviolent pushback can topple harsh regimes (Havel 1978; Journal of Democracy 2025). The takeaways stress building up opposition through better research skills, fact-based challenging methods, and diaspora backing as key to reviving democracy, with self-sustained efforts outshining external programming. We offer practical steps for resistance in Afghanistan, focusing on linking scattered diaspora groups to info and support networks (Chenoweth and Stephan 2011; Opposition International 2024).

Introduction

Democracy feels like it's slipping away. The Freedom House Index has tracked declines for nineteen years running, but global responses have been too timid (Democracy Without Borders 2025; Freedom House 2025). As Thomas Mann noted, "in our times, the destiny of man presents its meaning in political terms," calling for real action since leaders sworn to serve often betray that trust (quoted in Minogue 1995). Churchill's 1947 quip about democracy being the least bad option falls flat amid the steady erosion of its core, fueled by regimes chasing unchecked authority (Churchill 1947).

The data paints a grim picture. By 2024, autocracies hit 91 worldwide against 88 democracies, the first such flip in over twenty years (International IDEA 2025; V-Dem Institute 2025). About 72 percent of people—roughly 5.7 billion—live under authoritarian sway (V-Dem Institute 2025). Press freedoms sank to a fifty-year nadir, and representation metrics dropped to 2001 lows (International IDEA 2025). This backslide stems from calculated moves by strongmen and from aid programs that have often overlooked the vital role of opposition parties.

Tocqueville warned of "democratic despotism" back in the 1830s, and it's worse now with tech handing rulers surveillance powers that crush citizen oversight (Tocqueville 1835). Worries over bloated state control have sparked opposition across divides, from right-leaning fights against bureaucracy to left-leaning stands against populist tyrants (Opposition International 2024). Still, aid work carries a built-in tilt toward incumbents; agencies tend to maintain close ties with governments to secure operational access, which can unintentionally sideline challengers (Harvard Project on Electoral Integrity 2024; Opposition International 2024).

Things have to shift. Opposition—spanning parties, activists, nonprofits, exiles, and citizens—keeps power in check, drives reforms, and guards fair elections (Journal of Democracy 2023). Biden's 2021 Summit for Democracy pushed for fierce contests, but efforts stuck to civil society over party work (Biden 2021). Sure, think tanks sketch big ideas, but opposition leaders turn those into workable plans grounded in evidence (Opposition International 2024). No parties, no real democracy.

We trace how these movements adapt to modern threats while leaning on time-tested nonviolent tactics against tyrants (Nonviolent Conflict 2018; Sharp 1973). The discussion lays out ways to bolster opposition strength, especially in Afghanistan, where exiles need quick ties to info and morale boosts—rooted more in homegrown grit than foreign strings.

The Contemporary Crisis: Quality Over Quantity in Democratic Decline

The Erosion of Democratic Quality

Even with steady numbers of self-proclaimed democracies, the substance has worn thin (Atlantic Council 2025; Freedom House 2025). Rule of law lagged worst in 2024, with 71 nations—41 percent of the tally—scoring low; 32 saw drops, mostly in judicial independence that reins in executives (International IDEA 2025). Europe claimed 38 percent of those slips, hitting old-guard democracies as hard as newcomers (International IDEA 2025).

Political freedoms dipped back to 1999—a quarter-century trough (Atlantic Council 2025). Over ten years, Turkey, Mexico, Greece, and Hungary shed more than ten points each in that area (Atlantic Council 2025). Canada and the U.S. placed fourth and sixth for legal freedom losses, attributed to concerns over inconsistent application of the law (Atlantic Council 2025). South and Central Asia dropped most in politics (-6.12 average), trailed by the Middle East and North Africa (-5.27) and sub-Saharan Africa (-5.16) (Atlantic Council 2025).

Incumbency Bias: The Fatal Flaw in Democracy Assistance

Aid for democracy has often overlooked the needs of challengers. Spy tech tips scales toward rulers expanding grip (Opposition International 2024; Montgomery 2020). Dictators roll out wide surveillance nets, while policy programs train on taming unrest via nonprofits (Zuboff 2019). The World Bank figures a third of opposition legislatures operate without independence, thanks to regime meddling (World Bank 2023).

Aid outfits worsen this with their ruler-friendly lean. Officials open doors, so funders dodge party work to stay in good graces (Harvard Project on Electoral Integrity 2024). Challengers get little training and come off as bickering scandal-hunters, not policy thinkers (Opposition International 2024). USAID, UN, and EU efforts tilt to nonprofits and equity initiatives over parties (USAID 1999; Carnegie Endowment 2025). Stats bear it out: party aid is under 3 percent of USAID's pot (USAID 1999). UNDEF funneled 90 percent to civil groups from 2006 to 2012 (UNDEF 2016). The EU calls civil society "key" but treats party aid as touchy and skimpy (European Commission 2022).

Enough. Parties pick lawmakers, build cabinets, and craft evidence-driven counters vital for fair play (Opposition International 2024). The setup denies them the tools to probe overreach. Aid needs to pivot to challenger support, or own the slide—but even better, movements should chase self-reliance to dodge these traps altogether.

Prioritizing Self-Reliance Over External Aid

While donor cash can kickstart efforts, overdependence can weaken the momentum and autonomy of civic or reform movements (O'Regan 2018; McDonough 2020). External funding can unintentionally shape agendas toward low-risk, report-oriented projects rather than flexible, grassroots initiatives that challenge entrenched power structures (Rauh 2012). Activists in places like Kenya and Nigeria gripe that grants lock them into rigid plans, sidelining the messy, adaptive work of real movements (O'Regan 2019). It splits the field too: well-funded outfits network among themselves, leaving indie voices as side gigs, stirring resentment and blocking unity (O'Regan 2019).

Self-reliance flips this. Homegrown funding—from local dues, crowdfunding, or small biz ventures—keeps control local, boosts legitimacy, and dodges backlash from rulers painting challengers as puppets (McDonough 2020; Democracy Fund 2024). In tough spots, groups tapping domestic pots stick to core fights like accountability without donor strings, sustaining longer through community ties (O'Regan 2018). Crowdfunding, for instance, fueled Canada's 2022 trucker protests, showing how online small donations can rally masses without big-agency oversight (Zhang 2025). Sure, donors like USAID offer scale, but they risk creating dependency cycles where movements prioritize funding requirements over strategic autonomy, eroding the independence that makes resistance stick (Rauh 2012). The real power lies in building internal resilience—diverse local sources, skill-sharing networks, and tactics that don't need outside nods—to outlast aid cycles and hit harder at home (Democracy Fund 2024).

Institutional Capture and State Control

Rulers after control use "democratic capture"—gutting institutions inside out while keeping the shell (The Conversation 2024). Hungary's Orbán shows it: Parliament ruled in 2022 it was no democracy, power too centralized (The Conversation 2024). Strongmen build slowly, not with coups: tweaking vote rules, picking on foes with regs, using state cash to buy loyalty, and swapping tips with like-minded autocrats (Ben-Ghiat 2020; Snyder 2017).

Administrative institutions often align with executive preferences. Challengers struggle for data, funds, or rollout space (Levitsky and Ziblatt 2018). Fears of unaccountable officials highlight the push for opposition that can push back (Opposition International 2024). Groups need know-how to handle policy mazes, or fade away (Wilson 1989).



West Facade of the U.S. Supreme Court Building

Media Censorship and Information Warfare

Tyrants ramp up info locks and critic muzzling (V-Dem Institute 2025). Expression soured in 44 spots in 2024, up from 35 (V-Dem Institute 2025). Press lows hit fifty-year marks, the biggest spread since 1975 tracking (International IDEA 2025). Control bids use state media grabs, ad squeezes, journalist suits, critic charges, and rule tweaks to quiet independents (Freedom House 2025).

Social platforms open doors to state spying, bullying, algorithm tweaks, and fake news floods from autocrats (Seymour 2019; O'Neil 2016). Rulers lean on sites to scrub regime hits (Montgomery 2020). State lies brew "info war" fog where truth blurs with spin (Snyder 2017). Challengers need fixes, or blackouts kill rallies.



Photo by Lennon Cheng on Unsplash

Electoral Manipulation and Violence

Autocrats game votes for wins (International IDEA 2025). In 2024's vote wave, representation hit 2001's bottom; sevenfold more places slipped than gained as rulers tampered (International IDEA 2025). Violence marked 40 percent of 66 national polls, often state forces hitting protesters and rivals (Democracy Without Borders 2025). Candidate attacks spread; gangs muscled into Mexico and South Africa politics with official nods (Democracy Without Borders 2025).

Fairness crumbled via rule bends, biased commissions run by rulers, and voter/candidate intimidation to chill participation (International IDEA 2025). Tunisia's Saïed seized the vote body and barred all rivals, turning polls into shows (Democracy Without Borders 2025). Venezuela's Maduro fudged results, then gangs nabbed dissenters in a violence spike (Democracy Without Borders 2025). Folks see votes as stamps for tyrants, not change tools (Snyder 2017).

Living in Truth: Lessons from Václav Havel

Václav Havel laid out an opposition approach that still fits tough regimes. He saw totalitarianism as rooted in everyday folks going along with the regime's obvious "lie"—the fake beliefs mouthed to dodge trouble (Havel 1978). "Living in truth" meant ditching that act: honest talk, true actions, no slogans, which cracks the regime's base (Havel 1978; Wikipedia 2012). Havel put it simply—a shopkeeper dropping a unity poster breaks the illusion propping up the whole mess (Hanover College 2020).

Charter 77 made it real with fact-checking opposition: logging how the state broke its own rights promises to call out double standards and grab an ethical footing (Wikipedia 2004; Prague 2015). Jails and threats didn't stop it; networks grew, swapping ideas over borders (Radio Prague International 2017). That fed the 1989 Velvet Revolution—protests swelling to a million in a nation of 16 million, forcing communists to quit and Havel's presidency, showing truth without fists can fell giants (Nonviolent Conflict 2022; EBSCO 2018).

The Role of Diaspora Communities in Democratic Struggles

Exile groups offer politics, cash, and logistics that keep fights alive when locals get crushed (ICIP 2025; Freedom House 2020). Those abroad can rally and speak more freely than homebound ones under constant watch. They become megaphones for quieted causes (Freedom House 2020). Help comes in lobbying bodies, spotlighting abuses, pushing diplomacy, and sanction calls against norm-breakers (Freedom House 2020).



Victory procession after the resignation of Sheikh Hasina in Shahbagh, Dhaka

Bangladesh's 2024 student surge proves the point. After Hasina's fifteen years of political restrictions, exiles poured millions into strikes, shadow setups, and aid (TBS News 2024; Journal of Democracy 2025). Global city marches drew hundreds of thousands, sparked by a July 18 net shutdown, worrying kin (TBS News 2024). Workers boycotted remittances, slashing inflows and pinching the regime (TBS News 2024). This youth's mass mobilization started on quota gripes but ballooned to oust calls, claiming nearly a thousand lives till Hasina bolted to India on August 5 (CNN 2024; Al Jazeera 2024; Journal of Democracy 2025).

But tyrants strike back across borders, spying and hitting exiles to hush them (Freedom House 2020). Groups like Opposition International call for global rules on free flows of folks, thoughts, and money between homes and abroad to shield work (Opposition International 2024). Aid should link exiles to info and pep talks—but self-driven diaspora funds beat donor meddling for lasting punch.

Civil Resistance Dimension

Challengers blend Gene Sharp's 198 nonviolent ways with evidence digs to hit regime policies (Sharp 1973; Commons Library 2025; Opposition International 2024). Bangladesh's 2024 push mixed strikes, alt structures, and exile drives against control grabs (TBS News 2024; Journal of Democracy 2025). Kids, docs, educators, clerks, and drivers shunned orders, hiking costs and signaling no-go (CNN 2024; LPE Project 2024).

Erica Chenoweth and Maria Stephan's work shows nonviolence wins twice as often as arms—53 percent to 26 percent (BBC Future 2023; Wikipedia 2025). Their "3.5 percent rule" holds: once that slice joins big acts like marches or boycotts, no flop (Commons Library 2025; Harvard Kennedy School 2019). It works by rattling elites economically and socially, showing wide backing, and flipping insiders (Commons Library 2025).

Fact opposition lets groups probe state doings, spotlight oversteps, and bare abuses (Opposition International 2024). That calls for deep experts, transparency boosters, open data, market watches for graft, border info skips on censors, and tech scans for overlooked woes (Opposition International 2024). It flips reaction to forward policy-making, logging shortfalls and control's face—fueled by internal hustle, not donor scripts.

Hong Kong's democracy bid used encrypted apps, security measures, and coordination tools before crackdowns (BBC News 2024; VOA 2024). The 47 case—45 jailed in late 2024 for a mock vote—shows how regimes tag normal organizing as crime (BBC News 2024). But unity around democracy goals builds accountability against state sprawl (Nonviolent Conflict 2018).

Civil Resistance Strategies for Afghanistan

Finding and Connecting the Diaspora

Afghan challengers should engage their 8-million-plus diaspora, an important resource following Taliban consolidation of power since 2021 and recent large-scale returns from Iran affecting over 1.5 million people since January (UNHCR 2024; IOM 2025). Spread from Europe to the Americas and Asia, these networks demand mapping and hooks to info and support for home fights—drawn from self-reliant pools like community drives over international aid.

Aid should team with UNHCR, IOM, and local outfits in hubs like Canada, Germany, and the U.S. to chart paths (Opposition International 2024; Freedom House 2020). Safe online spots—coded apps, VPNs—tie exiles to hidden home cells, feeding the Taliban wrongdoings and no-fight plays (Access Now 2024). Funds for exile groups to run online talks, sessions, and Havel-inspired trainings give isolated fighters heart (Havel 1978)—but prioritize grassroots cash to keep it free of foreign sway.

Donors back exile drives to boost voices: logging rights hits for world pushes and steering remittances to resistance (Human Rights Watch 2024). Tying to spots like Nonviolent Conflict builds bonds and smarts, helping overcome social and political fragmentation under Taliban rule (Nonviolent Conflict 2018). Self-reliance here means exile-led crowdfunding or local networks, ensuring the fight stays Afghan-owned.

Conclusion and Policy Implications

Aid for democracy has to swing toward challengers, or shoulder the blame for the downturn (Opposition International 2024). With 54 percent of nations worsening and autocracies topping democracies after two decades, small tweaks won't cut it (International IDEA 2025). Nonviolent holds strong with wide buy-in, tight plans, and evidence policies (Chenoweth and Stephan 2011).

Fix the ruler tilt by channeling civil society cash to challenger builds (Opposition International 2024). USAID, UN, EU pots must carve big slices for party research, fact trainings, and exile links over safe nonprofit stuff that skips power cores (Carnegie Endowment 2025). But self-reliance trumps all—local funding webs, skill swaps, and indie tactics build tougher movements less prone to donor whims or cutoffs (O'Regan 2018; McDonough 2020). Frame it global and regional, not party-picking, to ease ruler paranoia while affirming check roles (Opposition International 2024).

Challengers want sharper fact tools: level-savvy researchers, abuse exposures, data opens, and scans for regime slips (Opposition International 2024). Exiles merit nods and shields in democracy battles. Craft world rules for home-abroad flows of people, ideas, funds, guarding against cross-border hits (Freedom House 2020). Global bodies push vote fairness: monitors, rival shields, regime penalties for games (International IDEA 2025).

In Afghanistan, aid zeros in on exile links to info and lifts (Opposition International 2024). Exiles get gear for secret girls' classes, abuse logs, and woman spotlights abroad (Human Rights Watch 2024)—sourced first from community grit to sidestep aid pitfalls. These hits strike control directly, beyond just noting harms.

Havel's echo says challengers win with truth holds, fact builds, and smart nonviolence (Havel 1978). Bangladesh's 2024 youth wave—with graft logs, crowds, and exile aid—tore down a dug-in regime despite blood (Journal of Democracy 2025). Facing democracy's toughest stretch, pumping challengers with aid, global ties, research edge, and resistance grit lights a real way ahead—especially when self-reliance keeps the soul intact. Lean into opposition, and renewal isn't a dream—it's doable, making Churchill's words hit home for what's next (Churchill 1947).

References

- Access Now. 2024. "Digital Security for Activists in Repressive Environments." November. Available at: <https://www.accessnow.org>
- Al Jazeera. 2024. "The Victory of Bangladesh's Student Movement Should Not Surprise Anyone." August 5. Available at: <https://www.aljazeera.com/opinions/2024/8/5/the-victory-of-bangladeshs-student-movement-should-not-surprise-anyone>
- Atlantic Council. 2025. "The Path to Prosperity: The 2025 Freedom and Prosperity Indexes." July 8. Available at: <https://www.atlanticcouncil.org/in-depth-research-reports/report/the-path-to-prosperity-the-2025-freedom-and-prosperity-indexes/>
- BBC Future. 2023. "The '3.5% rule': How a Small Minority Can Change the World." March 2. Available at: <https://www.bbc.com/future/article/20190513-it-only-takes-35-of-people-to-change-the-world>
- BBC News. 2024. "Hong Kong 47: Top Pro-Democracy Leaders Jailed for Subversion." November 18. Available at: <https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/cx2l4eynl4zo>
- Ben-Ghiat, Ruth. 2020. *Strongmen: Mussolini to the Present*. New York: W.W. Norton.
- Biden, Joseph R. 2021. "Remarks by President Biden at the Summit for Democracy Opening Session." December 9. Washington, DC: The White House.
- Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. 2025. "What Future for International Democracy Support?" July 6. Available at: <https://carnegieendowment.org/research/2025/07/what-future-for-international-democracy-support>
- Chenoweth, Erica, and Maria J. Stephan. 2011. *Why Civil Resistance Works: The Strategic Logic of Nonviolent Conflict*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Churchill, Winston. 1947. Speech to the House of Commons, November 11. London: Parliament.
- CNN. 2024. "Bangladesh's 'Gen Z Revolution' Toppled PM Sheikh Hasina." August 6. Available at: <https://www.cnn.com/2024/08/06/asia/bangladesh-protests-hasina-resignation-explainer-intl-hnk>
- Commons Library. 2025. "Civil Resistance and the 3.5% Rule: An Overview." September 23. Available at: <https://commonslibrary.org/chenoweth-3-5percent-rule/>

- Democracy Fund. 2024. "Field in Focus: The State of Pro-Democracy Institutional Philanthropy." June 23. Available at: <https://democracyfund.org/idea/field-in-focus-the-state-of-pro-democracy-institutional-philanthropy/>
- Democracy Without Borders. 2025. "Freedom House Reports 'Global Freedom Decline' for 19th Consecutive Year." February 27. Available at: <https://www.democracywithoutborders.org/35074/freedom-house-reports-global-freedom-decline-for-19th-consecutive-year/>
- EBSCO. 2018. "Velvet Revolution in Czechoslovakia." December 31. Available at: <https://www.ebsco.com/research-starters/history/velvet-revolution-czechoslovakia>
- European Commission. 2022. "Democracy and Human Rights." March 7. Available at: https://international-partnerships.ec.europa.eu/policies/peace-and-governance/democracy_en
- Fiveable. 2021. "Charter 77." Available at: <https://fiveable.me/key-terms/europe-since-1945/charter-77>
- Freedom House. 2020. "The Importance of Defending Diaspora Activism for Democracy and Human Rights." September 6. Available at: <https://freedomhouse.org/report/special-report/2020/importance-defending-diaspora-activism-democracy-and-human-rights>
- Freedom House. 2025. *Freedom in the World 2025*. February. Available at: https://freedomhouse.org/sites/default/files/2025-02/FITW_World_2025_Feb.2025.pdf
- Hanover College. 2020. "Vaclav Havel 'The Power of the Powerless' (1978)." Available at: <https://history.hanover.edu/courses/excerpts/165havel.html>
- Harvard Kennedy School. 2019. "The '3.5% rule': How a Small Minority Can Change the World." May 12. Available at: <https://www.hks.harvard.edu/centers/carr/publications/35-rule-how-small-minority-can-change-world>
- Harvard Project on Electoral Integrity. 2024. *Electoral Integrity Worldwide*. Cambridge: Harvard Kennedy School.
- Havel, Václav. 1978. "The Power of the Powerless." October. Available at: <https://www.nonviolent-conflict.org/wp-content/uploads/1979/01/the-power-of-the-powerless.pdf>
- Human Rights Watch. 2024. "Afghanistan: Taliban Deprive Women of Livelihoods, Identity." January 18. Available at: <https://www.hrw.org/news/2024/01/18/afghanistan-taliban-deprive-women-livelihoods-identity>

- ICIP. 2025. "Integrating the Diaspora into Peacebuilding Through Public Policy." January 19. Available at: <https://www.icip.cat/perlapau/en/article/from-the-margins-to-the-mainstream-integrating-the-diaspora-into-peacebuilding-through-public-policy/>
- International IDEA. 2025. *The Global State of Democracy 2025: Democracy on the Move*. August 22. Available at: <https://www.idea.int/publications/catalogue/html/global-state-democracy-2025-democracy-move>
- IOM. 2025. "Afghanistan: Returns from Iran." June. Available at: <https://www.iom.int>
- Journal of Democracy. 2023. "The Future of Nonviolent Resistance." October 22. Available at: <https://www.journalofdemocracy.org/articles/the-future-of-nonviolent-resistance-2/>
- Journal of Democracy. 2025. "The Return of Politics in Bangladesh." June 22. Available at: <https://www.journalofdemocracy.org/articles/the-return-of-politics-in-bangladesh/>
- Levitsky, Steven, and Daniel Ziblatt. 2018. *How Democracies Die*. New York: Crown Publishing.
- LPE Project. 2024. "The Bangladesh Student Movement that Transformed a Nation." October 29. Available at: <https://lpeproject.org/blog/the-bangladesh-student-movement-that-transformed-a-nation/>
- McDonough, Anna. 2020. "How Donors Support Civil Society as Government Accountability Advocates: A Review of Strategies and Best Practices." *Health Research Policy and Systems* 18(1): 1-15. Available at: <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7659168/>
- Minogue, Kenneth. 1995. *Politics: A Very Short Introduction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Montgomery, Kathryn C. 2020. *Digital Dictators: How Tech Powers Authoritarianism*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Nonviolent Conflict. 2018. "Civil Resistance: A First Look." August. Available at: <https://www.nonviolent-conflict.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/Civil-Resistance-A-First-Look.pdf>
- Nonviolent Conflict. 2022. "Czechoslovakia's Velvet Revolution (1989)." March 8. Available at: <https://www.nonviolent-conflict.org/czechoslovakias-velvet-revolution-1989/>
- O'Regan, Davin. 2018. "International Donor Funding for Activism: Boon or Burden?" *Mobilizing Ideas*, September 24. Available at: <https://mobilizingideas.wordpress.com/2018/09/24/international-donor-funding-for-activism-boon-or-burden/>

- O'Regan, Davin. 2019. "Donor Assistance in the Transparency and Accountability Movement." *United States Institute of Peace Peaceworks* 151. Available at: https://www.usip.org/sites/default/files/2019-09/pw_151-donor_assistance_in_the_transparency_and_accountability_movement-pw.pdf
- Opposition International. 2024. *Mission Statement and Program Overview*. Toronto: Opposition International.
- Prague. 2015. "Prague, 1977: Charter 77." December 16. Available at: <https://hhr-atlas.ieg-mainz.de/articles/feindt-prague>
- Radio Prague International. 2017. "Charter 77: An Original Signatory on Communist Czechoslovakia's Most Important Protest Movement." January 5. Available at: <https://english.radio.cz/charter-77-original-signatory-communist-czechoslovakias-most-important-protest-8204191>
- Rauh, Julia. 2012. "NGOs, Foreign Donors, and Organizational Processes." *McGill Sociological Review* 1: 1-20. Available at: <https://www.mcgill.ca/msr/volume1/article2>
- Sharp, Gene. 1973. *The Politics of Nonviolent Action*. Boston: Porter Sargent Publishers.
- Snyder, Timothy. 2017. *On Tyranny: Twenty Lessons from the Twentieth Century*. New York: Tim Duggan Books.
- The Conversation. 2024. "Populism Can Degrade Democracy but Is on the Rise." June 25. Available at: <https://theconversation.com/populism-can-degrade-democracy-but-is-on-the-rise-heres-what-causes-this-political-movement-and-how-it-can-be-weakened-222323>
- Tocqueville, Alexis de. 1835. *Democracy in America*. Paris: Gosselin.
- UNDEF. 2016. *The Impact of the United Nations Democracy Fund*. New York: United Nations.
- UNHCR. 2024. "Afghanistan Refugee Crisis Explained." August. Available at: <https://www.unhcr.org/afghanistan-emergency>
- USAID. 1999. *Political Party Development Assistance*. Washington, DC: USAID.
- V-Dem Institute. 2025. *Democracy Report 2025: 25 Years of Autocratization*. March 5. Available at: https://www.v-dem.net/documents/60/V-dem_dr_2025_lowres.pdf
- Wikipedia. 2004. "Charter 77." January 7. Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Charter_77
- Wikipedia. 2012. "The Power of the Powerless." April 8. Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Power_of_the_Powerless
- Wikipedia. 2025. "3.5% Rule." April 14. Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/3.5%_rule

- Wilson, James Q. 1989. *Bureaucracy: What Government Agencies Do and Why They Do It*. New York: Basic Books.
- World Bank. 2023. *Political Economy of Opposition Parties*. Washington, DC: World Bank Group.
- Zhang, Li. 2025. "The Role of Crowdfunding in Political Mobilization and Extremism in Canada." *Canadian Journal of Political Science* 58(1): 1-22. Available at: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/canadian-journal-of-political-science-revue-canadienne-de-science-politique/article/role-of-crowdfunding-in-political-mobilization-and-extremism-in-canada/166BDF1C5AA92C6D75A9B54C859FB63C>
- Zuboff, Shoshana. 2019. *The Age of Surveillance Capitalism*. New York: PublicAffairs.
- <https://democracyfund.org/idea/field-in-focus-the-state-of-pro-democracy-institutional-philanthropy/>
- <https://www.mcgill.ca/msr/volume1/article2>
- <https://mobilizingideas.wordpress.com/2018/09/24/international-donor-funding-for-activism-boon-or-burden/>
- https://www.usip.org/sites/default/files/2019-09/pw_151-donor_assistance_in_the_transparency_and_accountability_movement-pw.pdf
- <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7659168/>
- <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0305750X23003194>
- <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/canadian-journal-of-political-science-revue-canadienne-de-science-politique/article/role-of-crowdfunding-in-political-mobilization-and-extremism-in-canada/166BDF1C5AA92C6D75A9B54C859FB63C>
- https://www.peio.me/wp-content/uploads/PEIO16/submission_128.pdf
- <https://scholarworks.lib.csusb.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1613&context=jitim>



WORLD ANTI EXTREMISM NETWORK

BUILDING A FREE WORLD

CONTACT US



World Anti Extremism Network



World Anti Extremism Network



WorldAEN



waenetwork



www.WorldAntiExtremism.org